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## Probability of Impacting or Accessing Rocks

- Use Model Size-Frequency Rock Distributions and Thermal Differencing Rock Abundance Estimates to Determine Frequency of Potentially Hazardous \& Measurable Rocks
- Not for the Faint of Heart; Lots of Uncertainties
- Assumes IR Rock Abundance is Accurate ( $\sim 20-25 \%$ ) from Scale of IR Pixel to Landed Surface [THEMIS]
- Assumes Rock Abundance is Made up of Individual Rocks
- Outcrops and Non-Uniform Distributions
- Assumes Model Rock Distributions are Representative and Apply
- But [Best Can Do with What Have Now]
- IRTM Rock Abundances are 3 for 3, within 20\% of Landed Count
- Rock Distribution Models Appear Representative of Many Natural Surfaces - On Earth and Mars: Fracture \& Fragmentation Theory
- Model Accurately Predicted Distribution of Rocks at MPF Site


## Viking Lander Rock Distributions



Cumulative Area versus Diameter -
Exponential Decay
Cumulative Area is Rock Abundance

## VL1 w/o Outcrops

## Rock Distributions on Earth



## Cumulative Area versus Diameter - <br> Same Exponential

Wide Variety Surfaces Weathered Volcanic Ephrata Fan Alluvial Fan

# Fracture \& Fragmentation Theory - Failure By Propagation of Ubiquitous Flaws 

## Rock Distributions in Hawaii



## Model Rock Size-Frequency Distributions

$F_{k}(D)=k \exp [-q(k) D]$
$F_{k}(D)$ Cum. Frac. Area
k is Total Rock Abundance
$q(k)$ Governs Drop with D
$q(k)=1.79+0.152 / k$
Predicted 0.01 Area at MPF
Covered by Rocks D>1 m

## Prediction Successful!



Measured Rocks in MPF Near and
Far Field
Match Model for MPF IRTM Rock Abundance

## Boulders in MOC Images



# Counted Boulders in MOC Images as Check on Large Dia. 

 Rock DistributionBoulders Show Up as Light/Dark Pixel Pairs in Low Sun Images

480 m Dia. Crater;
Largest Boulder 14 m 250 Boulders Counted 1 pixel Rock=1.5 m Dia

## Boulder Fields in MOC Images

MOC Image (M0402248)
Olympus Mons Caldera Scarp Boulder Field, $45^{\circ}$ Sun Angle, $6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{pixel}$ 5182 Boulders, Max 24 m

M0202582 Graben Floor $39^{\circ}$ Sun Angle, $3 \mathrm{~m} /$ pixel 4143 Boulders, Max Rock 12 m Diameter

Rockiest Locations on Mars

## Boulder Size-Frequency Distributions



- Boulder Fields Rare
- ~0.1\% of MOC Image
- Low Sun $>38^{\circ}$
- Plotted Max Subareas
- Ave, Min 2-10 x Lower
- Extreme Distributions
- Steep Slope, Exponential Decay
- Similar to Model Dist.
- ~1\% Surface Covered by 310 m Diameter Boulders
- Can't See Boulders at 3 Landing Sites, 20\%
- If Can't See, <20\% Rock Abundance


## Boulders at Mars Pathfinder Site

Largest Rocks Visible from Lander Difficult to See in Highest Resolution MOC


## Cumulative Number Inversion



## Airbag Drop Test Platform

$60^{\circ}$ Dipping Platform at Plum Brook Largest Vacuum Chamber in World

Fully Inflated Airbags Around Full Scale Lander
Bungee Chord Pulls Lander to Impact Velocities
Airbags Impact First at Edge Between Tetrahedrons \& Then Rotates to Face


## MER Airbag Drop Tests



## Airbag Drop Tests



Airbags Have Been
Tested to Extreme
Cumulative Number versus Diameter Distributions: 20 to $>40 \%$

Tests 5-10
Times Greater Number of 1 m Diameter Boulders than at MPF or VL2

## Airbag Drop Tests



# Airbags Have Been Tested To Extreme Cum. Area versus Dia. Distributions: 20->40\% Model <br> 10\% Surface Covered by 1 m Diameter Rocks 

30\% Surface
Covered by>0.4 m Diameter Rocks

## Shape and Burial of Rocks

- Triangular Rocks >0.2 m High
- Failure Due to Stress Exceeding Tensile Strength Interior Bladder
- Angular Rocks More Likely to Tear/Abrade Outer Layers
- Added Second Interior Bladder (No Failures Since)
- Burial of Rocks Important
- Deeply Buried Rocks Don’t Move During Impact
- More Likely to Stress Interior Bladder
- More Likely to Abrade Outer Layers
- Assessed Shape of Rocks at 3 Landing Sites/Drop Platforms
- Used Burial Data [Deeply, Partially Buried, Perched]


## ROCK SHAPE



## Round

- Hemispherical, very weathered or smooth ("stimpy")


## Square

- Large flat surfaces, nearly horizontal surfaces, distinct edges ("flat top")


## Triangular

- Distinctly angular rock, pyramid shaped ("mini matterhorn")

Triangular Rocks Most Hazardous; Round Least Hazardous 3 Independent Observers, 2/3 Majority

## Shape of Rocks in Airbag Test Platforms

| Number of Rocks |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H (m) | Tri | Sq | Rnd |
| 0.5 |  | 4 | 8 |
| 0.4 |  | 2 | 10 |
| 0.3 | 29 | 51 | 14 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Tot | 31 | 62 | 33 |

# $25 \%$ of Rocks on Platform <br> Triangular and <br> Deeply Buried 

$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ is rock height in m
Tri are triangular shaped rocks
Sq are square shaped rocks
Rnd are round shaped rocks

## Landing Site Rock Burial \& Shape



## Landing Sites Compared with Test Platform Rocks

- Rocks at 3 Landing Sites Higher than 0.2 m
- 1/3 Rocks are Triangular
- 14\% Rocks are Deeply Buried
- 19\% Rocks are Triangular and Deeply or Partially Buried
- 7\% Rocks are Triangular and Deeply Buried
- Airbag Test Platform Rocks
- $25 \%$ are Triangular
- All are Deeply Buried (aka Firmly Attached)
- Airbag Test Platform Rocks More Hazardous (~3 Times) than Rocks at 3 Landing Sites


## Probability Encountering Rock

- Assume Cum. \# Rocks Modeled by Poisson Distribution
- Suggested by Distribution of Rocks Measured at Landing Sites
- Appropriate for Distributions Produced by Natural Processes
- L, number of rocks per unit area - assumed to be uniform
- Probability, p, of a single rock in any given area, $c$, is
- proportional to c , as $\mathrm{p}=1 /(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{L})$
- Probability of exactly $n$ rocks in any area (c L)
$-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c} \mathrm{L})=(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{L})^{\mathrm{n}} \exp (-\mathrm{c} \mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{n}$ !
- The probability that at least one rock of a specified size is within the area $c$ is given by the equation
$-1-\mathrm{P}(0, \mathrm{c} \mathrm{L})=1-\exp (-\mathrm{c} \mathrm{L})$


## Probability of Impacting Rock at Landing Sites

- Chose Diameter D>1 m; Roughly 0.5 m High
- D>0.4 m, $1 / 3$ Triangular,
- 7\% Triangular \& Deeply Buried
- Take IRTM Rock Abundance [Christensen, 1986]
- Pixels Cover Significant Portion of Ellipse
- Cumulative Number Rocks from Model Inversion
- Airbag Bounce Areas - $16.98 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or $8.95 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Rolling Bounce (Horizontal Velocity) or Flat Face
- Calculate Probability for 2, 4, 10, 60 Bounces
- First 2 Most Energetic
- Next 2 Possibly Energetic (spinup)

- After first 10 Bounces Less Energetic; 60 Bounces Max.


## Model Cumulative Number Rocks



## Model Yields Cumulative Number of

Rocks/m² of Diameter D or Greater for IRTM Rock Abundance at Landing Sites

## Landing Site IRTM Rock Abundance

- TM20B, Hematite: Average 5\%
- (pixels 1, 6, 6, 7\%)
- EP55A, Gusev: Average 7.5\%
- (pixels 7, $8 \%$ plus a small bit of $3 \%$ )
- IP84A, Isidis: Average 14\%
- (pixels 13, 15\%)
- EP78B2, Average 5\% or 6.3\%
- (7 pixels are 1, 6, 6, 6, 8, $6 \%$ plus a small bit of $11 \%$ )


## Probability (\%) of Impacting a Rock >1 m Dia.

| Landing <br> Site | IRTM <br> Rock <br> Abun <br> (\%) | Cum. \# Rocks/m ${ }^{2}>1 \mathrm{~m}$ Dia. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prob (\%) } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ <br> Bounces | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prob (\%) } \\ 4 \\ \text { Bounces } \end{gathered}$ | Prob (\%) $10$ <br> Bounces | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prob (\%) } \\ 60 \\ \text { Bounces } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meridiani, Elysium (min) | 2 | 0.00001 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02- \\ 0.03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04- \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09- \\ 0.17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.54- \\ 1.01 \end{gathered}$ |
| Meridiani, <br> Elysium (ave) | 5 | 0.0004 | $\begin{gathered} 0.7- \\ 1.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4- \\ 2.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5- \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3- \\ 33.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| Meridiani(max) Gusev (ave) | 7 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8- \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5- \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6- \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.5- \\ 63.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gusev, Elysium (max) | 8 | 0.002 | $\begin{gathered} 3.5- \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9- \\ & 12.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.4- \\ & 28.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.8- \\ 87 \end{gathered}$ |
| Isidis (min) <br> Melas (max) | 13 | 0.005 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6- \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.4- \\ & 28.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.1- \\ 57.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93.2- \\ 99.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| VL1, VL2, MPF, Isidis (max) | $\begin{gathered} 15- \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 0.006 | $\begin{gathered} 10.2- \\ 18.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3- \\ & 33.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.5- \\ 63.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96.0- \\ 99.8 \end{gathered}$ |

## Risk From >1 m Diameter Rocks

- Airbags Have Been Tested Successfully Against 1 m Diameter ( 0.5 m High) Rocks, Multiples/Bounce
- Engineering Analysis Likelihood Failure Does Not Increase Until Height>0.7 m (1.5 m Dia.)
- For Higher Rocks Risk Rises Slowly with Lander Velocity \& Orientation
- Rapid Drop Off in Model \# with Increasing Diameter
- 10 Times Fewer 1.5 m Diameter Rocks (vs 1 m )
- <0.14\%, <0.27\%, \& <0.68\% in in 2, 4 \& 10 bounces for $8 \%$ Rock Abundance: Max. at Meridiani, Elysium, Ave. Gusev
- 100 Times Fewer 2 m Diameter Rocks (vs 1 m )
- <0.03\%, <0.07\% and <0.17\% in 2, 4, and 10 bounces: $8 \%$ Rock Abundance: Max. at Meridiani, Elysium, Ave. Gusev
- Gusev Boulder Fields-Cum\# Rocks 0.00014 and $0.0006 / \mathrm{m}^{2}>4 \mathrm{~m}$
- Prob. Impact 1.1-2.0\%, 2.1-4.0\%, 5.2-9.7\% 2, 4, 10, and 60 bounces
- Larger Rocks probably not hazardous, surface curvature ~ width tettrahedral airbag face-react as if impacting a planar surface. м. Golombek


## Probability (\%) of Impacting a Rock >0.4 m Dia.

| Landing Site | IRTM <br> Rock <br> Abun <br> (\%) | Cum. \# Rocks/ $\mathrm{m}^{2}>0.4$ m Dia. | Prob (\%) <br> 2 Bounces <br> All Rocks | Prob (\%) <br> 2 Bounces <br> Triangular Rocks | Prob (\%) <br> 2 Bounces <br> Triangular/ <br> Buried Rocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meridiani, Elysium (min) | 2 | 0.007 | $\begin{gathered} 11.8- \\ 21.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.1- \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9- \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Meridiani, Elysium (ave) | 5 | 0.03 | $\begin{gathered} 41.5- \\ 63.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.4- \\ & 28.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.7- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gusev (ave), <br> Elysium (max) | 8 | 0.06 | $\begin{gathered} 91.9- \\ 99.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.8- \\ 79.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1- \\ 28.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| VL1, VL2, MPF, Isidis (max) | $\begin{gathered} 15- \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | 0.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 99.9- \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.4- \\ 98.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.6- \\ 59.2 \end{gathered}$ |

Prob. rock $>0.4 \mathrm{~m}$ Dia. Actually Hazardous is Less-Bladder failure likely controlled geometry of airbag/rock; Second airbag bladder may have eliminated this failure mode

## Proximity of Rocks to MER for Study

- Rocks >0.1 m Dia. Large Enough to be Measured
- Rocks >0.3 m Dia. Large Enough to be RAT-ed
- without moving
- Cum. $\#$ rocks $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}>0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ and 0.3 m Dia.
- From model for IRTM rock abundance at landing sites
- 2 Areas Evaluated
- 0.9 m Annulus ( $\sim 18.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) Images beyond Solar Array Obscuration, Easy Single Sol Drive
- Area ( $3.14 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) IDD Placed in one command cycle, 2 m from front of vehicle-within Hazcam stereo coverage


## MER Access Areas



## Expected Proximity of Rocks

| Landing Site | IR <br> TM <br> Rock <br> Abun <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & \# \\ & \text { Rocks/ } \\ & \mathrm{m}^{2}> \\ & 0.1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { Dia. } \end{aligned}$ | Expected Number of Rocks> 0.1 m Dia. In IDD Area | Probability (\%) of at least One Rock> 0.1 m Dia. In IDD Area | Cum. \# <br> Rocks/ <br> $\mathrm{m}^{2>}>0.3$ <br> m Dia. | Probability (\%) of at least One Rock> 0.3 m Dia. In IDD Area | Expected Number of Rocks> 0.3 m Dia. In Area within ~3 Rover Lengths | Probability (\%) of at least One Rock> 0.3 m Dia. In Area within ~3 Rover Lengths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meridiani, Elysium(min) | 2 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 94.1 | 0.023 | 7 | 0.38 | 34.8 |
| Meridiani, <br> Elysium(ave) | 5 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 96.8 | 0.084 | 23.2 | 1.4 | 79 |
| Gusev, Elysium(max | 8 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 99.6 | 0.17 | 41.4 | 2.8 | 95.7 |
| VL1, VL2, MPF, Isidis (max) | $\begin{gathered} 15- \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 9.4 | 100 | 0.36 | 67.7 | 6.0 | 99.9 |

## At All Sites-Rocks Large Enough to be Analyzed in IDD Workspace Plentiful At All Sites-Rocks Large Enough to RAT within Easy 1 Sol Drive

## Conclusions

- Model Rock Distributions-Exponential Fit to Viking Predicted MPF
- Used to Calculate Probability Rocks in Impact, Workspace \& Drive Areas
- Rock Distributions in Airbag Tests Extreme
- Similar to 50-60\% Model Rock Distributions
- Rock Shape and Burial 3 Times Worse than at 3 Landing Sites
- Probability of impacting a >1 m Diameter Rock
$-\sim 1 \%, \sim 2 \%, \& \sim 5 \%$ in 2, 4, or 10 bounces for Meridiani \& Elysium average $5 \%$ rock abundance \& $\sim 5-6$ times higher at Gusev; 10 times higher at Isidis
- Probability of impacting >1.5 m diameter
- <<1\% in 10 bounces at Meridiani, Elysium and Gusev
- Probability of impacting a buried triangular rock $>0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ high
- $<2 \%$ in 2 bounces at Meridiani, Elysium and Gusev (assuming fraction of buried triangular rocks similar to the three landing sites)
- Rocks large enough to be measured \& abraded should be plentiful
- within the IDD workspace \& within an easy single Sol's drive by the rover

